Customer No.: 31561
Docket No.: 12447-US-PA

Application No.: 10/708,489

REMARKS

This is a full and timely response to the outstanding nonfinal Office Action mailed Dec.

29, 2005. Applicants submit that a typing informality of claim 1 has been corrected hereby, and

claims 7 and 8 have been canceled hereby. There is no new matter entered and thus no new

ground can be necessitated. As such, applicants submit that the next Office Action should not be

made Final in accordance with the minor amendment of claim 1. Reconsideration and allowance

of the application and presently pending claims 1-6 are respectfully requested.

Claim Objection

Claims 7 and 8 are objected. In response to the objection, Applicants canceled claims 7

and 8, and thus the objection is moot.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Office Action rejected claims 1 and 5-8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by Ooishi US 5,689,460.

In response to the rejection to claims 1 and 5-8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Ooishi US 5,689,460, Applicants canceled claims 7 and 8 and hereby otherwise

Page 4 of 8

FAX NO.

P. 06

Customer No.: 31561 Docket No.: 12447-US-PA

Application No.: 10/708,489

traverse this rejection. As such, Applicant submits that claims 1, 5 and 6 are now in condition

for allowance.

With respect to claim 1, as currently amended, recites in part:

A voltage regulator apparatus, comprising:

... a first transistor having a first terminal coupled to a positive terminal of a

voltage source, a second terminal coupled to a first bias, and a third terminal

coupled to the output terminal of the voltage regulator ... (emphasis added)

Applicants submit that such a voltage regulator apparatus as set forth in claim 1 is neither

taught, disclosed, nor suggested by Ooishi '460 or any of the other cited references, taken alone

or in combination.

Ooishi '460 fails to disclose, teach or suggest "a first transistor having a first terminal

coupled to a positive terminal of a voltage source, a second terminal coupled to a first bias, and a

third terminal coupled to the output terminal of the voltage regulator" as set forth in claim 1

(Emphasis added). As shown in FIG. 11, Ooishi '460 teaches that item 5 that is alleged to read

on the output terminal, and item N3 that is alleged to read on the first transistor is not coupled to

each other, as required for the voltage regulator apparatus, as set forth in claim 1. More specially,

Page 5 of 8

MAR-29-2006 WED 17:12

FAX NO.

P. 07

Customer No.: 31561 Docket No.: 12447-US-PA

Application No.: 10/708,489

the transistor N3 has a third terminal (source) coupled to the node 6 and the node 6 is coupled to

the gate of the transistor 2 having a drain terminal coupled to the item 5. Therefore, Ooishi '460

fails to teach, disclose or suggest each and every element as set forth in claim 1, either expressly

or inherently. (MPEP §2131) Thus, claim 1 is submitted to be new and unobvious over Ooishi

'460, and should be allowable.

If independent claim 1 is allowable over the prior art of record, then its dependent claims

5 and 6 are allowable as a matter of law, because these dependent claims contain all features of

their respective independent claim 1. In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Office Action rejected claims 2-4 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Ooishi US 5,689,460 in view of the acknowledged prior art.

In response to the rejection to claims 2-4 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Ooishi US 5,689,460, Applicants submit that claims 2-4 depend from claim 1, and if independent

claim 1 is allowable over the prior art of record, then its dependent claims 2-4 are allowable as a

matter of law, because these dependent claims contain all features of their respective independent

claim 1. In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Page 6 of 8

Customer No.: 31561 Docket No.: 12447-US-PA Application No.: 10/708,489

Further, Ooishi US 5,689,460 does not disclose a load circuit providing a feedback voltage to the positive terminal of the error amplifier, as recited in Claim 2. The load circuit 7 of Ooishi US 5,689,460 does not provide a feedback voltage feedback to the comparator.

Customer No.: 31561 Docket No.: 12447-US-PA Application No.: 10/708,489

## **CONCLUSION**

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that the pending claims 1-6 are in proper condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date: March 29, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Jiang Chyun Intellectual Property Office 7<sup>th</sup> Floor-1, No. 100 Roosevelt Road, Section 2 Taipei, 100 Taiwan

Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800 Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233

Email: belinda@jcipgroup.com.tw
Usa@jcipgroup.com.tw